

The Study Analogue of Harnack's Theorem and Some Properties of $A(z)$ Harmonic Functions

***Jaafar Jabbar Qasim**

Department of Mathematics - College of Education,
Al-Mustansiriyah University

jaafferjabber1@gmail.com

**** Ahmed Khalaf Radhi**

Department of Mathematics - College of Education,
Al-Mustansiriyah University,

[**dr_ahmedk@yahoo.com](mailto:dr_ahmedk@yahoo.com)

Article Info

Page Number: 1374-1384

Publication Issue:

Vol.71 No.3 (2022)

Abstract: In this paper we provide a definition of $A(z)$ - tasks of harmonic and Devoted some properties of $A(z)$ -harmonic task, and analogue of Harnack's Theorem.

Keywords: $A(z)$ -analytic task, $A(z)$ -harmonic task.

Article History

Article Received: 12 January 2022

Revised: 25 February 2022

Accepted: 20 April 2022

Publication: 09 June 2022

Introduction

This work is concerned with $A(z)$ -harmonic tasks. The answer to the Beltrami equation

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial \bar{z}} - A(z) \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z} = 0$$

Known as the analytical task of $A(z)$. It is widely knowledge that the link between equation (1) and Quasiconformal mappings is direct. There is a common misconception that $A(z)$ is a measurable task and that $|A(z)| \leq 1$ virtually anyin which in the area DC . Actual part of the Equation for the Solution (1)

$$u(z) = \operatorname{Re} f(z)$$

The composition comprises of an opening and three body paragraphs. In the first paragraph, we provide a basic overview of the $A(z)$ - analytic tasks, which will be covered in greater detail in

subsequent sections on the $A(z)$ - harmonic task. In the next paragraph, we define $A (z)$ harmonic tasks, introduce the comparable Laplace operator $\Delta A u$, and describe the taskal features, Poisson integral formula, and mean value theorem for $A(z)$ -harmonic tasks. The third paragraph discusses Harnack's inequality and theorem on monotonically sequences of $A(z)$ -harmonic tasks

$$u_j \in h_A(D)$$

1. Preliminary information

Both the solution to equation (1) and the quasiconformal homeomorphisms of Flat areas have been thoroughly investigated. We limit ourselves here to work citations ([1, 6, 8, and 11]) and the formulation of the three theorems given below:

First theorem: For each complex-measurable \mathbb{C} task, There is a one of homeom-orphic $X(z)$ solution to the first equation that fixes the coordinates 0,1 as:

Observe that in the case of the last task is exclusively in the Area $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ defined, it may extend to the entire by putting it outside $A=0$, hence the first formulation of the 1st theorem applies for every area

$$A(z) : \|A\|_\infty < 1 \quad D \subset \mathbb{C}$$

$$f(z) = \Phi[X(z)],$$

$$X(z)$$

Second Theorem formulation [3]: in which is homeomorphic task, exhausts the collection of all generalized equation solutions (1). Solution according to the first Theorem, and $\Phi(\xi)$ is a homeomorphic task in area X .

Furthermore, in the case of the $f(z)$ contains isolated singular points, (D) . So, a holomorphic task possess the same types for isolated singularities.

Nota bene: $\Phi = f \circ \chi^{-1}$

According to Theorem 2, the A -analytic task f performs internal mapping.

That is, it transforms one open set to another.

Therefore, the maximum principle holds true for these tasks; given each confined area $D \subset \mathbb{C}$, the modulus of $f = \text{constant}$ reaches its maximum value only on that area Boundaries,

for example $|f(z)| < \max_{z \in \partial D} |f(z)|, z \in D$

Whenever the task is not 0, the minimal principle also holds.

For example $|f(z)| > \min_{z \in \partial D} |f(z)|, z \in D$

Third Theorem [6]. In the case of a task $A(z)$ is based on a group of m -smooth class tasks $A(z) \in C^m(D)$, so, each f solution for equation number 1 and as belongs to the same class, here, let only consider the case in which $A(z)$ stands for an anti-analytic task $\partial A = 0$ in an area

$$D \subset \mathbb{C} \text{ also } |A(z)| \leq C < 1, (0 < C < 1), \forall z \in D$$

$$D_A = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \bar{A}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}, \quad \bar{D}_A = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} - A(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

$$f \in C^m(D).$$

so we can get :

In the case of (1) is correct, so, the class of is $A(z)$ - analytic function $f \in O_A(D)$ is defined by the fact that $\bar{D}_A f = 0$. It follows from Theorem 3 that the anti-analytic function $O_A(D) \subset C^\infty(D)$ is endlessly smooth (D).

Fourth Theorem. [11]. (*Analogue of Cauchy theorem*). In the case of in which $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ is an area contain piecewise smoothly boundaries ∂D , and in the case of the area D is connected as a fixed point $\xi \in D$ simply, so, :

$$\int_{\partial D} f(z)(dz + A(z)d\bar{z}) = 0$$

$$\psi(z, \xi) = z - \xi + \overline{\int_{\gamma(\xi, z)} \bar{A}(\tau)}$$

$$I(z) = \int_{\gamma(\xi, z)} \bar{A}(\tau) d(\tau)$$

is accurately specified in an area D , in which $\gamma(\xi, z)$ has been a smooth curve involving the points, $\xi, z \in D$. An integral of

is a, because the area D is merely connected, and $A(z)$ stands for a holomorphic function.

It has been integration path, and corresponds with an anti-derivative,

Theorem 5. [10]. In the event that D is merely a connected and convex region, the kernel-style task

$$I'(z) = \bar{A}(z) \tag{2}$$

$$k(z, \xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \cdot \frac{1}{z - \xi + \overline{\int_{\gamma(\xi, z)} \bar{A}(\tau) d\tau}}$$

Is there $A(z)$ -analytic task out of a point in which $z=\xi$ In the case of so, so, $k \in O_A(D \setminus \{\xi\})$ is an answer; also, $z=\xi$ the task $k(z, \xi)$ is easy task at $z=\xi$.

Proof. A simple check shows that the task

$$\psi(z, \xi) = z - \xi + \overline{I(z)} = z - \xi + \overline{\int_{\gamma(\xi, z)} \bar{A}(\tau) d\tau},$$

is $A(z)$ – analytic in D :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} [z - \xi + \overline{I(z)}] \frac{\partial \overline{I(z)}}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial \overline{I(z)}}{\partial z} \bar{A}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [z - \xi + \overline{I(z)}]$$

i.e. $\psi(z, \xi) \in O_A(D)$.

The task $\psi(\xi, z) = z - \xi + \overline{\int_{\gamma(\xi, z)} \bar{A}(\tau) d\tau}$ has a unique simple zero at the point $z = \xi$. In fact, $|\xi, z|$ is a segment which connects the points $\xi, z \in D$, so,

$$z - \xi + \overline{\int_{\gamma(\xi, z)} \bar{A}(\tau) d\tau} = z - \xi + \overline{\int_{|\xi, z|} \bar{A}(\tau) d\tau}$$

and since $|A(z)| \leq c < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| z - \xi + \overline{\int_{\gamma(\xi, z)} \bar{A}(\tau) d\tau} \right| &\geq |z - \xi| - \left| \int_{|\xi, z|} \bar{A}(\tau) d\tau \right| \geq \\ &\geq |z - \xi| - \int_{|\xi, z|} |A(\tau)| |d\tau| \geq |z - \xi| - c \cdot \int_{|\xi, z|} |d\tau| = (1 - c)|z - \xi| > 0, \end{aligned}$$

$z \neq \xi$.

the task $\psi(z, \xi)$ has only one zero and it is simple at the point $z = \xi$, therefore, $k(z, \xi)$ is holomorphic in $D \setminus \{\xi\}$. $z = \xi$ is its simple pole.

Remark 1. Notably, area D has been convex; $K(z, \xi)$ possesses a simple single-pole point $z = \xi$. In the case of region $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ has not been Convex and it is merely simple-Linked, regardless of the tasks:

$$\psi(\xi, z) = \xi - z + \overline{\int_{\gamma(z, \xi)} \bar{A}(\tau) d\tau}$$

Theorem 6: Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be any arbitrary convex area, and let $G \subset D$ be any arbitrary subarea with a smooth or piecewise smooth border ∂G .

Therefore, the formula (3) applies to any task $f(z) \in O_A(G) \cap C(\bar{G})$

$$(3) \quad f(z) = \int_{\partial G} K(\xi, z) f(\xi) (d\xi + A(\xi)d\bar{\xi}) \quad , z \in G .$$

Proof. Fixing a point $z \in G$ and small circle $U(z, \varepsilon) \subset G$, $\varepsilon > 0$, the following theorem

holds: (4)

$$\int_{\partial G} K(\xi, z) f(\xi) (d\xi + A(\xi)d\bar{\xi}) = \int_{|\xi-z|=c} K(\xi, z) f(\xi) (d\xi + A(\xi)d\bar{\xi}),$$

but according to the Stokes formula we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|\xi-z|=\varepsilon} K(\xi, z) f(\xi) (d\xi + A(\xi)d\bar{\xi}) &= \int_{|\xi-z|=\varepsilon} f(\xi)w(\xi, z) = \\ \int_{|\xi-z|\leq\varepsilon} d[f(\xi)w(\xi, z)] &= \int_{|\xi-z|\leq\varepsilon} df(\xi)w(\xi, z) + \\ \int_{|\xi-z|\leq\varepsilon} f(\xi)dw(\xi, z) & \\ \rightarrow 0 + f(z) &= f(z), \text{ for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

2. A(z)-harmonic task

As stated earlier, the A(z)-harmonic task is the real component of A(z)-analytical tasks. The imaginary component of the analytical task is harmonic. A(z)-harmonic tasks exist when A(z) represents anti-analytic tasks.

Theorem 7: The real component of the analytic task $f(z) \in O_A(G)$ satisfies the following equations.

$$\Delta_A u = 0 \tag{4}$$

in which

$$\Delta_A = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[\frac{1}{1-|A|^2} [(1 + |A|^2) \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} - 2A \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}}] \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left[\frac{1}{1-|A|^2} [(1 + |A|^2) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}} - 2\bar{A} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}] \right].$$

Note Theorem 7 gives the following determinations for the A(z)-harmonic task.

Definition 1.

In area G, a task of twice differentiable function $u \in C^2(G), u : G \rightarrow R$ is A(z)-harmonic if, called A(z)-harmonic, it is a solution to the differential equation (4).

$h_A(G)$ is the symbol for a class for A(z)-harmonic tasks in area (G), and both the real and imaginary components of the A(z)-analytic task $f(z) \in O_A(G)$ are A(z)-harmonic tasks. Likewise, the opposite is true for Areas with a simple link.

Theorem 8. $f(z) \in O_A(G)$, such that $u = \text{Re } f$, exists in the case of the task $u(z) \in h_A(G)$, (G), in which G is a simply connected area.

For A(z)-harmonic tasks, theoretically, operator A(z)-has the similar role as u operator concerning harmonic and subharmonic tasks (Namely, we must provide the integral principle.

Assume $G \subset C$ to be the convex area, and let

$$\psi(z, \xi) = z - \xi + \int_{\gamma(\xi, z)} \bar{A}(\tau) d\tau$$

correspond to the appropriately defined task for G.

Theorem 9. Poisson's formula (by Poisson's Theorem) holds in the case of a task $u(z)$ has been $A(z)$ -harmonic in the lemniscate $L(a,R) \subset D$, continuous in its closure, specifically $u(z) \in h_A(L(a,R)) \cap C(\overline{L(a,R)})$

$$(5) \quad u(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \oint_{|\psi(\xi,a)|=R} u(\xi) \frac{r^2 - |\psi(\xi,a)|^2}{|\psi(\xi,z)|^2} |d\xi + A(\xi)d\bar{\xi}|.$$

Other side in the case of the tasks $\varphi(\xi)$ continuous at the boundaries of the lemniscate $L(a, R) \subset D$, So, the Task:

$$u(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \oint_{|\psi(\xi,a)|=R} \varphi(\xi) \frac{r^2 - |\psi(\xi,a)|^2}{|\psi(\xi,z)|^2} |d\xi + A(\xi)d\bar{\xi}| \quad (6)$$

Is the Lemniscate a Solution to the Dirichlet Problem:

$$L(a, r): \Delta_A u = 0 \quad \forall z \in L(a, R), \quad u|_{\partial L(a, R)} = \varphi.$$

Theorem 10. in the case of task u is an $A(z)$ –harm-onic in a Lemn-iscate

$$L(z, R) = \{ \xi \in G : |\psi(z, \xi)| < R \} \subset G$$

so, the following equality holds for any $r < R$:

$$u(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \oint_{|\psi(\xi,z)|=r} u(\xi) |d\xi + A(\xi)d\bar{\xi}|.$$

Proof. Since $u \in h_A(L(z, R))$ so, there is a task $f(z) \in O_A(L(z, R))$ for which $u(z) = Rf(z)$.

we expand the task $f(z)$ in the area $L(z, R)$ in a

Taylor series

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \psi^n(\xi, z).$$

If $r < R$, the Series converges uniformly in a lemniscate $|\psi(\xi, z)| \leq r$.

$$u(z) = \frac{1}{2} (f(z) + \overline{f(z)}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [c_n \psi^n(\xi, z) + \overline{c_n \psi^n(\xi, z)}] \quad (7)$$

using $d\psi(\xi, z) = d\xi + A(\xi)d\bar{\xi} = rie^{it} dt, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$

and $|d\xi + A(\xi)d\bar{\xi}| = r dt,$

Compute the following Integrals:

$$\oint_{|\psi(\xi,z)|=r} \psi^n(\xi,z) |d\xi + A(\xi)d\bar{\xi}| = r^{n+1} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{tin} dt = \begin{cases} 0, & n \geq 1 \\ 2\pi r, & n = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\oint_{|\psi(\xi,z)|=r} \overline{\psi^n(\xi,z)} |d\xi + A(\xi)d\bar{\xi}| = r^{n+1} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-tin} dt = \begin{cases} 0, & n \geq 1 \\ 2\pi r, & n = 0 \end{cases}$$

Integrating the part-equality (15) in a perimeter for lemniscate yields a subsequent equivalence:

$$\oint_{|\psi(\xi,z)|=r} u(\xi) |d\xi + A(\xi)d\bar{\xi}| = \pi r(c_0 + \bar{c}_0) = 2\pi r u(z) \quad \blacksquare$$

Theorem 11. (Fubini's Theorem). In the case of $f(x, y)$ is continuous throughout the rectangular region, $R: a \leq x \leq b, c \leq y \leq d, (a, b, c, d \in R)$, so,

$$\iint_R f(x, y) dA = \int_c^d \int_a^b f(x, y) dx dy = \int_a^b \int_c^d f(x, y) dy dx.$$

Theorem 12. Task $u \in C(G)$, the subsequent Statements have been Comparable:

- 1) $u \in h_A(D)$;
- 2) for any $z \in G$ and $L(z, r) \subset\subset G$ the following equality holds

$$u(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \oint_{|\psi(\xi,z)|=r} u(\xi) |d\xi + A(\xi)d\bar{\xi}|;$$

- 3) for any $z \in G$ and $L(z, r) \Subset G$ the following equality holds

$$u(z) = \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \iint_{|\psi(\xi,z)| \leq r} u(\xi) d\mu \tag{8}$$

Where $d\mu(1 - |A(\xi)|^2) \frac{d\xi \wedge d\bar{\xi}}{2i}$.

Proof. $1 \Rightarrow 2$ based on a mean magnitude, A theory (10). 2 3 stems based on Fubini's familiar formula. Hypothesis (11):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \iint_{|\psi(\xi,z)| \leq r} u(\xi) d\mu &= \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_0^r dt \int_{|\psi(\xi,z)|=t} u(\xi) |d\xi + A(\xi)d\bar{\xi}| = \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_0^r 2\pi t u(z) dt = u(z). \end{aligned}$$

here we are using the following obvious equality,

$$\begin{aligned} d\mu (1 - |A(\xi)|^2) \frac{d\xi \wedge d\bar{\xi}}{-2i} &= \frac{i}{2} (d\xi + A(\xi)d\bar{\xi}) \wedge (d\bar{\xi} + \bar{A}(\xi)d\xi) = \\ &= \frac{i}{2} d\psi(\xi, z) \wedge d\bar{\psi}(\xi, z) = dt \otimes |d\psi(\xi, z)| = dt \otimes |d\xi + A(\xi)d\bar{\xi}|. \end{aligned}$$

Fix a lemniscate $L(a, R) \subset G$ to prove that 3 \Rightarrow 1 is true. Apply the poisson formula (5) to the task

$$v \in h_A(L(a, R)) \cap C(\bar{L}(a, R)) : v|_{\partial L(a, R)} = u|_{\partial L(a, R)}$$

Using the auxiliary task $u_1 = v - u$, in which $u_1|_{\partial L(a, R)} = 0$.

To every $L(z, r) \subset\subset L(a, R)$, equality (8) holds since $v(z) \in h_A(L(a, R))$ and $u(z)$ fulfil the Theorem condition. From the following can be inferred the required statement.

Lemma 1. in the case of the mean value condition 3 for task $u \in C(G)$, $u \neq \text{const}$, has been True, i.e. for every $z \in G$ and $L(a, R) \subset\subset G$, the equivalence (8) holds, so, $u(z)$ cannot attain its maximum or minimum magnitude within G .

Proof. Indeed, presume:

$$\exists z^0 \in G : u(z^0) = \sup_G u(z),$$

fix $L = L(z^0, r) \subset G$,

and write the equality (8)

$$\begin{aligned} u(z^0) &= \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \iint_L u(\xi) d\mu = \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \iint_{L \cap \{u(\xi) = u(z^0)\}} u(\xi) d\mu + \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \iint_{L \cap \{u(\xi) < u(z^0)\}} u(\xi) d\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \iint_{L \cap \{u(\xi) = u(z^0)\}} u(z^0) d\mu + \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \iint_{L \cap \{u(\xi) < u(z^0)\}} u(\xi) d\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \iint_L u(z^0) d\mu - \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \iint_{L \cap \{u(\xi) < u(z^0)\}} u(z^0) d\mu + \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \iint_{L \cap \{u(\xi) < u(z^0)\}} u(\xi) d\mu \\ &= u(z^0) - \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \iint_{L \cap \{u(\xi) < u(z^0)\}} [u(z^0) - u(\xi)] d\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Since $u(z^0) - u(\xi) \geq 0 \forall \xi \in L(z^0, r)$ then from (9) it follows that

$$L(z^0, r) \cap \{u(\xi) < u(z^0)\} = \emptyset, \text{ i.e. } u(\xi) \equiv u(z^0) \text{ in } (z^0, r).$$

Changing $u(z)$ to $-u(z)$ reveals that the Minimum principle holds for $u(z)$ under the conditions of Lemma 1, i.e., in the case of $u(z)$ is less than $u(z)$.

$$\exists z^0 \in G : u(z^0) = \inf_G u(z).$$

Then $u(z) \equiv u(z^0) \quad \forall z \in G.$ ■

It suffices to observe, to conclude the proof of Theorem (12), that the auxiliary Task $u_1 = v - u$, for which $u_1 \in C(\bar{L}(a, R))$ and $u_1|_{\partial L(a, R)} = 0$, the condition (3). Based on Lemma (1), $u_1 = v - u \equiv 0$ i.e.

$$u(z) \equiv v(z) \in h_A(L(a, R)).$$
 ■

Corollary 1. (Extremum principle). In the case of the task $u \in h_A(D)$ touches its extreme in G , so, $u \equiv \text{constant}$.

Corollary 2. The Dirichlet problem $\Delta_A u(z) = 0 \quad z \in G, u \in h_A(G) \cap C(\bar{G}), u|_{\partial G} = \varphi, \varphi \in C(\partial G)$ takes the distinctive solution.

Proof. Assume two solutions u_1 and u_2 are existing. So, their difference $v = u_1 - u_2 \in h_A(D)$ has been continuous in \bar{D} and $v|_{\partial D} \equiv 0$. Therefore, by extremum standard $v|_D \equiv 0$,

For example, $u_1 \equiv u_2.$ ■

3. Equivalence for Harnack's theorem

Remark 2. Here, the equivalence for familiar Harnack's Inequality has presented, that is vital in proving Harnack's theorem.

Theorem 13: Assume $u(z)$ has the $A(z)$ -harmonic task in a lemniscate. $L(a, R) \subset D$, continuous in its closure, specifically $u(z) \in h_A(L(a, R)) \cap C(\bar{L}(a, R))$, in which $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ has been convex area. In the case of $u(z) \geq 0$ in a lemniscate $L(a, R)$, so, it will be right Harnack's inequality.

$$\frac{r-\rho}{r+\rho} u_j(a) \leq u_j(z) \leq \frac{r+\rho}{r-\rho} u_j(a), \quad z \in \partial L(a, \rho). \quad (10)$$

Proof. In $L(a, r)$ The Poisson's formula was written as (cf. (12))

$$u_j(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \oint_{|\psi(\xi, a)|=r} u_j(\xi) \frac{r^2 - |\psi(z, a)|^2}{|\psi(\xi, z)|^2} d\xi + A(\xi) d(\bar{\xi}), \quad z \in L(a, r), j= 1, 2 \dots$$

This formula implies the following inequality :

$$\frac{r^2 - \rho^2}{(r+\rho)^2} u_j(a) \leq u_j(z) \leq \frac{r^2 - \rho^2}{(r-\rho)^2} u_j(a), \quad z \in \partial L(a, \rho) = \{|\psi(z, a)| = \rho\}$$

Which is equivalent to

$$\frac{r-\rho}{r+\rho} u_j(a) \leq u_j(z) \leq \frac{r+\rho}{r-\rho} u_j(a), \quad z \in \partial L(a, \rho). \quad \blacksquare$$

Theorem 14. A monotonically increasing series for $A(z)$ -harmonic tasks $u_j \in h_A(D)$. If it converges uniformly (in D) to, or meets uniformly to definite $A(z)$ -harmonic tasks $u \in h_A(D)$.

Proof. It suffices to demonstrate the theorem given a monotonically growing sequence such as $u_j \rightarrow u(z), u(z) \in (-\infty, +\infty]$. We correct a random convex area GD In which a lemniscate can be defined as $(a, r) = \{\xi \in G : |\psi(\xi, a)| < r\} \subset G, a \in G, r > 0$ it can be assuming that $u_j \geq u_1(z) \geq 0 \forall z \in G$ given that $u_j \geq u_{j-1}(z)$ and, should it be necessary, adding positive constant. Using the formula for the mean value (10) so, :

$$u_j(z) = \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \iint_{|\psi(\xi, a)| \leq r} u_j(\xi) d\mu$$

According to Levy's theorem, this equivalence applies to you as well (the priory u is feasibly not bounded)

$$u(z) = \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \iint_{|\psi(\xi, a)| \leq r} u(\xi) d\mu$$

(11)

Case I. U represents not bounded task, and the equation reads $\exists a \in G : u(a) = +\infty$. In the case of this is the case, the left side of equation (10) suggests that the value of $u_j(z)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, as uniformly evaluated in $\partial L(a, \rho), \forall \rho < r$, meets to $+\infty$. Here, demonstrating that $u(z) \equiv +\infty$ in G besides $u_j(z)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly converges to $+$ in arbitrary $L(a, \rho) \subset G$ is not a difficult task at

all.

Case II . $u(z) < \infty \forall z \in G$. So, the right-hand of (10) indicates that

$$u_{j+m}(z) - u_j(z) \leq \frac{r+\rho}{r-\rho} (u_{j+m}(a) - u_j(z)) , z \in \partial L(a, \rho).$$

Moreover, the sequence $u_j(z)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly converges in $L(a, \rho)$, $\rho < r$.

As a consequence of this, in any compact KG, $u_j(z)$ uniformly meets $u(z)$, with continuous $u(z)$ in G . (11). The both theorems support this proposition (12). As a result, $u(z)$ is A . (G). Because GD stands for a fixed arbitrary convex area, $u(z)$ has been $A(z)$ in D . ■

Conclusions

- 1- Study some properties of $A(z)$ – harmonic tasks.
- 2- Proving an analog of the Schwarz inequality for analytic tasks $A(z)$.
- 3- Proving the integral formula of Poisson for $A(z)$ -analytic tasks.
- 4- Demonstrate an analog of Harnack's theorem for $A(z)$ - harmonic tasks.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahlfors L. Lectures on quasiconformal mappings, Toronto-New York-London,(1966).
- [2] Bojarsky B. Homeomorphic solution of Beltrami systems, Report ACUSSR, Vol.102, No4, 661-664 (1955).
- [3] Bojarsky B. Generalized solutions of a system of differential equations of the First order of the elliptic type with discontinuous coefficients. Math.Comp., 1957, Vol. 43(85),451-503 (1957).
- [4] Sadullaev A. Theory Plurepotentials. Applications. Palmarium academic pub- Lishing (2012).
- [5] Sadullaev A. Dirichlet problem to Monge-Ampere equation. DAN USSR, Vol.267, No.3, 563-566 (1982).
- [6] Vekua I. N. Generalized analytic functions. M, " Science" (1988).
- [7] Volkovysky L.I. Quasiconformal mappings. Lviv (1954).
- [8] Gutlyanski V., Ryazanov V., Srebro U. and Yakubov E. The Beltrami equation: **A geometric approach. Springer (2011).**
- [9] Shabborov N.M., Otaboev T.U. An analog of the integral Cauchy theorem for A -analytic functions, Uzbek Mat. Zh., (2016), No. 4, 50–59 (in Russian).
- [10] Shabborov N.M., Otaboev T.U. Cauchy's theorem for A -analytical functions, Uzbek Mat. Journal, No. 1, 15-18 (2014).
- [11] Sadullaev A., Zhabborov N.M. On a class of A -analytic functions. Siberian Federal University, Maths and Physics, Vol. 9(3), 374-384 (2016).