

# Lattice Metric Space and Their Properties

Noori F.Al-Mayahi<sup>2</sup> Meeqat Sami Rahaman<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics/College of Science of /AL-Qadisiyah University \Iraq

E-mail:[meeqatsami@gmail.com](mailto:meeqatsami@gmail.com)<sup>1</sup>[nafm60@yahoo.com](mailto:nafm60@yahoo.com)<sup>2</sup>

## Article Info

**Page Number:**1020 - 1036

**Publication Issue:**

**Vol 72 No. 1 (2023)**

## Article History

**Article Received:** 15 October 2022

**Revised:** 24 November 2022

**Accepted:** 18 December 2022

## Abstract

In this paper, submitted defined of lattice metric space (LMS), and study basic properties to this space, after that provide set of new result about LMS and comparison with normed metric space.

**Keywords:** Metric space, lattice, vector lattice, complete, bounded, isomorphic, sequentially continuity, limit point, converge

---

## 1-Introduction

Theory of lattice in the current notion was introduced by publishing Garrett Birkhoff's seminal book in 1940 since after that, it has been widely developed division that is up to now acquiescent new perceptions, applications and results. In its state as contemporary, there are several significant theories of lattice applications, such as in algebraic non-classical logics semantics.

In [1]. He introduced the definition of lattice metric function, and the axiom of this function, and the definition of open and closed ball. In [2] she introduced the definition of vector lattice. In [3,5,8]. They presented lattice norm space. In [4,10]. They presented Banach space [6,11]. They introduced Symmetric function. In [7,12]. They presented operators on Bochner space. In [13]. He introduced the definition of bounded lattice and the properties of bounded lattice and some theory about it. In [14] He introduced definition of lattice and the axiom of lattice. The metric space was an important concept in modern Mathematics and it is a generalization to the concept of distance and convergence in real numbers. The LMS is a generalization to normed metric space. In our paper, we provide definition, proposition, remarks and theorem and example in the context of metric space and linear metric space.

## 2-Vector lattice

In this section we proved the concept of vector lattice and basic properties related to it.

**Definition (2.1) [14]:**

A partially order set  $L$  is said to be lattice when each every element pair in  $L$  has infimum and supremum.

**Definition(2.2) [14]:**

Assume  $L$  is nonempty set closed under 2 binary operations named meet and join defined, after that  $L$  is named lattice when the axiom as following hold in which

$x, y, z \in L$ .

1. Commutative law  $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$  and  $x \vee y = y \vee x$
2. Associative law  $(x \wedge y) \wedge z = x \wedge (y \wedge z)$  and  $(x \vee y) \vee z = x \vee (y \vee z)$
3. Absorption law  $x \wedge (x \vee y) = x$  and  $x \vee (x \wedge y) = x$

**Definition(2.3) [14]:**

A lattice  $L$  is said to be complete lattice when each  $L$  non-empty subset has an supremum and infimum.

**Definition (2.4) [14]:** A nonempty subset  $B$  of lattice  $L$  is known as sublattice when  $\inf\{x, y\}, \sup\{x, y\} \in B$  for whole  $x, y \in B$ .

**Instance (2.5)**

1. The  $\mathbb{R}$  set of all actual number with normal relation  $\leq$  is lattice, but not complete lattice. Since  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \geq 1\}$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  which has no supremum. The  $\mathbb{N}$  set of whole natural number and the  $\mathbb{Q}$  set of whole relation number are sublattices of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\inf\{x, y\}, \sup\{x, y\} \in \mathbb{N}$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\inf\{x, y\}, \sup\{x, y\} \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

2. Suppose  $p(x)$  is the set poweroff of a nonempty  $X$  set, so  $p(x)$  is the collection of whole  $X$  subset, after that  $p(x)$  is partially ordered regarding the relation  $\subseteq$  if  $A, B \in p(x)$ , after that  $\inf\{A, B\} = A \cap B$ ,  $\sup\{A, B\} = A \cup B \in P(x)$ . Hence  $p(x)$  is a lattice.  $F$  is the whole actual set valued function defined set  $X$ . After that  $F$  is partially ordered by relation.

3. let  $\leq$  defined by setting  $f \leq g$  if  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  for whole  $x \in X$ . When  $f, g \in F$ , after that  $\inf\{f, g\} = \min\{f(x), g(x)\}$ ,  $\sup\{f, g\} = \max\{f(x), g(x)\}$ . Hence  $F$  is a lattice.

**Definition(2.6) [14]:**

Whichever dual statement in a lattice  $(L, \wedge, \vee)$  is defined to be a statement which is gained through  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  interchanging

**Example (2.7)**

The  $x \wedge (y \wedge z)$  dual =  $x \vee x$  is  $x \vee (y \vee z) = x \wedge x$

**Definition (2.8) [13]:**

A lattice  $L$  is named bounded when has highest element 1 and a smallest amount element 0

**Example (2.9)**

1. The  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  as a set power under the intersection and union operation is a bounded lattice as long  $\emptyset$  is the smallest  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  element, and the  $S$  set be the highest  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  element.
2. The +ve integer  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  set under the  $\leq$  as normal order is unbound lattice as long it possesses element 1 nonetheless the highest element is not existing .

**Bounded lattice Properties**

When  $L$  is a lattice being bounded, after that for whichever  $x \in L$  element, the identities as follow we get:

$$1. x \vee 1 = x$$

$$2. x \wedge 1 = x$$

$$3. x \vee 0 = x$$

$$4. x \wedge 0 = 0$$

**Theorem(2.10)**

Every finite lattice is bounded.

**Proof:**

Let  $L$  be any finite lattice , i.e  $L = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  . Thus the highest lattice  $L$  element be  $x_1 \vee x_2 \dots \vee x_n$ . Likewise, the minimum lattice  $L$  element be  $x_1 \wedge x_2 \dots \wedge x_n$ . As long the highest and minimum elements occur for lattice as finite. Therefore  $L$  be bounded.

**Definition(2.11) [13]:**

Consider an empty a lattice  $L$  subset  $L_1$ , after that  $L_1$  is named a  $L$  sublattice when  $L_1$  itself be a lattice , i.e. The  $L$  operation such as  $x \vee y \in L_1$  and  $x \wedge y \in L_1$  at any time  $x, y \in L_1$ .

**Example (2.12)**

Deliberate all positive integer  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  lattice under the of divisibility operation. The lattice  $D_n$  of whole  $n > 1$  divisors is a  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  sublattice. Govern whole the  $D_{30}$  sublattice which have a minimum of 4 elements  $D_{30} = \{1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 15, 30\}$  .

**Solution:**

The  $D_{30}$  sub-lattice which have a minimum of 4 elements as following 1.  $\{1, 2, 6, 30\}$  2.  $\{1, 2, 3, 30\}$  3.  $\{1, 5, 15, 30\}$  4.  $\{1, 3, 6, 30\}$  5.  $\{1, 5, 10, 30\}$  6.  $\{1, 3, 15, 30\}$  7.  $\{2, 6, 10, 30\}$

**Defintion (2.13)[14]:**

Tow lattice  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are named isomorphism lattice when a bijection is there from  $L_1$  to  $L_2$ . Such as  $f: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ , thus  $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y)$  and  $f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y)$  for whole  $x, y \in L_1$

**Defintion (2.14)[2]:**

Let  $L$  be a linear space over field  $F$  we say that  $L$  is lattice if  $\max \{x,y\}, \min \{x,y\} \in L$  for whole  $x,y \in L$ ,  $L$  is named a vector lattice.

**Theorem (2.15)**

Assume  $L$  is a space as linear after that  $L$  is a vector lattice if  $\max \{x,0\} \in L$  for whole  $X$  in  $L$

**Proof:**

Suppose  $x,y \in L$ , as long  $L$  is a linear space, after that  $x-y \in L$ , thus  $\max \{x-y,0\} \in L$ . Hence  $\max \{x,y\} \in L$ . Similarly  $x+y \in L$  and  $\max \{x+y,0\} \in L$  some in  $\{x,y\} \in L$

**Definition(2.16) [13]:**

Suppose  $V$  is a lattice as vector over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $V^+$  be its  $+$  cone. Their functions expressed to  $V^+$  from  $V$  as following, for whichever  $x \in V$ ,

1.  $x^+ = x \vee 0$ .
2.  $x^- = (-x) \vee 0$ .
3.  $|x| = (-x) \vee x$ .

It is simple to observe such roles are well-definite. Further down are few functions assets:

1.  $x^+ = (-x)^-$  and  $x^- = (-x)^+$
2.  $x = x^+ - x^-$  as long  $x^+ - x^- = (x \vee 0) - (-x) \vee 0 = (x \vee 0) + (x \wedge 0) = x + 0 = x$ .
3.  $|x| = x^+ + x^-$ , as long  $x^+ + x^- = x + 2x^- = x + (-2x) \vee 0 = (x - 2x) \vee (x + 0) = |x|$ .
4. If  $0 \leq x$ , after that  $x^+, x^- = 0$ , and  $|x| = 0$ . Also,  $x \leq 0$ , implies  $x^+ = 0$   
 $x^- = -x$  and  $|x| = -x$ .
5.  $|x| = 0$  iff  $x = 0$ .  $|x| = 0$ , after that  $(-x) \vee x = 0$ , thus  $x \leq 0$  and  $-x \leq 0$ . Nonetheless after that  $0 \leq x$ , so  $x = 0$ .
6.  $|rx| = |r| |x|$  for any  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $0 \leq r$ , after that  $0 \leq r$ , after that  $|rx| = (-rx) \vee (rx) = r((-x) \vee x) = r|x| = |r| |x|$ . Conversely, when  $r \leq 0$ , after that  $|rx| = (-rx) \vee (rx) = (-r)(x \vee (-x)) = -r|x| = |r| |x|$ .
7.  $|x| + |y| = |x+y| \vee |x-y|$ , as long  $LHS = (-x) \vee x + (-y) \vee y = (-x-y) \vee (x-y) \vee (x+y) = RHS$ .

$$8. |x + y| \leq |x| + |y|, \text{ since } |x + y| \leq |x + y| \vee |x - y| = |x| + |y|$$

**3-LMS**

In this part, we proved the LMS concept, and some properties of LMS, and we study basic properties of LMS, and after that we proved new result related with concept of LMS.

**Definition (3.1) [1]:**

Assume  $X$  be a non-empty set as actual set numbers. A function

$$d : X \times X \rightarrow E$$

is named a metric function when it fulfills the axioms as follows:

1.  $d(x, y) \geq 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .
2.  $d(x, y) = 0$  iff  $x = y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .
3.  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .
4.  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

$(X, d, E)$  LMS.

**Theorem (3.2)**

Each metric space is a metric lattice.

of lattice.

**Evidence**

Assume  $(X, d)$  is a space as metric. Define  $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$  by  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$  for all  $x, y \in X$

Let  $x, y \in X \Rightarrow x - y \in X$  (because  $X$  is a lattice vector space) .1

$$\Rightarrow x - y \in X \quad d(x, y) \geq 0.$$

2. Let  $x, y \in X$ , after that  $d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x - y = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$

Suppose  $x, y \in X \Rightarrow d(x, y) = |x - y| = |y - x| = d(y, x)$ .

3. Suppose  $x, y, z \in X$ , after that  $x - y = (x - z) + (z - y) \Rightarrow |x - y| \leq |x - z| + |z - y| \Rightarrow d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$

**Example (3.3)**

1. Assume  $X$  is a non-zero linear space,  $d$  is a discrete lattice metric function on  $X$ , i.e.

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \neq y \\ 0 & x = y \end{cases}$$

2. Assume  $d_u : X \times X \rightarrow E$  be a function defined as  $d_u(x, y) = |x - y|$  for all  $x, y \in X$  after that  $d_u$  be a metric function on  $E$ , and  $(E, d_u)$  named usual metric space.

3. Assumed:  $X \times X \rightarrow E$  defined as  $d(x, y) = |x - y| + 1$  for whole  $x, y \in X$ , not to be a metric function on  $E$ .

### [1]: (3.4) Definition

Assume  $X$  is a metric space

1. The ball as open of center  $x_0 \in X$  and radius  $r > 0$  signified through

$\beta_r(x_0)$  and define as  $\beta_r(x_0) = \{x \in X: d(x, x_0) < r\}$  and the ball as closed is

$$\beta_r(x_0) = \{x \in X: d(x, x_0) \leq r\}.$$

An  $A$  subset of  $X$  is considered as being bounded if it be present  $k > 0$  as  $|x| \leq k$  for whole  $x \in A$

### Remarks

1. Every open ball and closed ball are nonempty sets because  $x_0 \in \beta_r(x_0)$ ,

$$x_0 \in \beta_r(x_0).$$

$$2. \beta_r(x_0) = x_0 + \beta_r(0) = x_0 + r\beta_1(0)$$

### Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_r(x_0) &= \{x \in X: d(x, x_0) < r\} \{x_0 + y: |y| < r\} = x_0 + \{y: |y| < r\} \\ &= x_0 + \beta_r(0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Also } \beta_r(0) \{x \in X: |x| < r\} = \left\{x \in X: \frac{|x|}{r} < 1\right\} = r\{y: |y| < 1\} = r\beta_1(0)$$

Let  $X$  be a metric space. An  $A$  as subset is considered as an set as an open when specified whichever point,  $x \in A$ , it happens  $r > 0$  so,  $\beta_r(x) \subseteq A$ , and  $A$  is named a closed set if  $A^c$  is set as open.

### Definition (3.5) [1]:

Let  $\tau$  say that  $\tau$  is a non- $X$  Topology when it fulfills the axioms as follow:

$$1. \phi, X \in \tau$$

If  $A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n \in \tau$ , after that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i \in \tau$ . 2.

If  $A_\lambda \in \tau$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , after that  $\bigcup A_\lambda \in \tau$ . 3.

### Remark

Since every metric lattice space is a space topology is named a metric topology on  $X$ , the space  $X$  is named the metric topological space.

**Theorem(3.6)**

Assume  $(X, d)$  be a space as metric

1. All of  $X, \emptyset$  be sets being open in  $X$ .

$\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$  will be set being open in  $X$  after that, after that set in  $X$ . If  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  be open, after that  $\bigcup A_\lambda$  will be set as open in  $X$ . If  $A_\lambda, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$  set as an open in  $X$

**Evidence:**

1. Let  $\emptyset$  not set as an open  $\Rightarrow$  there exist  $x \in \emptyset \ni \beta_r(x) \subseteq \emptyset \forall r > 0$

and this impossible because  $\emptyset$  don't contain element  $\Rightarrow \emptyset$  set as an open.

As long  $\beta_r(x) \subseteq X \forall x \in X, r > 0 \Rightarrow X$  set as an open.

2. Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  set as open in  $X$  and let  $x \in \bigcap A_i \Rightarrow x \in A_i \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

Since  $A_i$  is set as an open in  $X \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n \Rightarrow$  After that exist  $r_i > 0 \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$\beta_{r_i}(x) \subseteq A_i \forall i = 1, 2, \dots$  So

put  $r = \min\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n\} \Rightarrow \beta_r(x) \subseteq \beta_{r_i}(x) \forall i = 1, 2, \dots \beta_r(x) \subseteq A_i$

$\beta_r(x) \subseteq \bigcap A_i \Rightarrow \bigcap A_i$  set as an open in  $X$ .

3. Let  $A_\lambda$  set as an open in  $X$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , and let  $x \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda \Rightarrow x \in A_\lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

Since  $A_\lambda$  set as an open in  $X \Rightarrow$  there exist  $r > 0$ , so,  $\beta_r(x) \subseteq A_\lambda \Rightarrow$

$\beta_r(x) \subseteq \bigcup A_\lambda \Rightarrow \bigcup A_\lambda$  set as an open in  $X$ .

**Formula(3.7)**

Suppose  $(X, d)$  is space as metric

1.  $X, \emptyset$  set as an closed in  $X$ .

2. if  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  are set as closed in  $X$ , after that  $\bigcup A_i$  is set as an closed in  $X$ .

3. if  $A_\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  set as an closed in  $X$ , after that  $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda$  is set as closed in  $X$ .

**Proof:**

1. As long  $\emptyset^c = X$ , and since  $X$  set as an open in  $X \Rightarrow \emptyset^c$  set as an open in  $X \Rightarrow \emptyset$  set as an closed in  $X$ , since  $X^c = \emptyset$  and  $\emptyset$  set as an open in  $X \Rightarrow X^c$  set as an open in  $X \Rightarrow X$  set as an closed in  $X$ .

2. Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  set as an closed in  $X \Rightarrow A_i^c$  set as an open in  $X$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots \Rightarrow$

$\cap A_i^c$  set as an open in  $X(\cap A_i^c)^c = \cup A_{An}$  set as an closed in  $X$ .

3.let  $A_\lambda$  set as an closed in  $X$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda \Rightarrow A_\lambda^c$  set as an open in  $x$  for every

$$\lambda \in \Lambda \Rightarrow \cup^c A_\lambda$$

set as an open in  $X \Rightarrow (\cup A_\lambda)^c = \cap A_\lambda$  set as an closed in  $X$ .

**Theorem (3.8)**

Suppose  $(X, d_1), (Y, d_2)$  is space as metric, let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  function as unbroken. When  $(Z, d_z)$  is a subset from space as metric  $(x, d_1)$ , after that  $f_z$  the function be limited on  $Z$  will be unbroken.

**proof**

Let  $f_t : Z \rightarrow Z$  the function is limited  $f$  on  $Z \Rightarrow f(x) = f_z(x)$  for whole  $x \in Z$

Let  $G$  be set as an open in  $Y$ , as long  $f$  is continues function  $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(G)$  is set as an open in  $X$   
 $\Rightarrow z \cap f^{-1}(G)$  set as an open in  $Z$

Since  $f_z^{-1}(G) = z \cap f^{-1}(G)$  from defin  $f_z \Rightarrow$  the set  $f_z^{-1}(G)$  open in  $Z \Rightarrow f_z$  is continuous

**Definition(3.9)[1]:**

Suppose  $(X, d_1), (Y, d_2)$  be space as metric we say that the function

$f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a sequentially continuity at the  $x_0$  point  $x$ , if each sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  so  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ , after that  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)$  in  $Y$

**Theorem (3.10)**

Suppose  $(X, d_1), (Y, d_2)$  is space as metric, after that the function:  $X \rightarrow Y$  unbroken at the  $x_0 \in X$  point iff the function is sequentially continuity at the point

**Proof**

Suppose the  $f$  function is unbroken at the  $x_0$  point, assume  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$ , so  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$

We must prove  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)$  :

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , as long  $f$  is unbroken at the  $x_0$  point  $\Rightarrow$  It exist  $\delta > 0$ ,

So, every  $x \in X, d_1(x, x_0) < \delta \Rightarrow d_2(f(x), f(x_0)) < \epsilon$

Since  $x_n \rightarrow x_0, \delta > 0 \Rightarrow$  there exist  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  so  $d_1(x_n, x_0) < \delta$  for every

$$n > k, \text{ then } d_2(f(x_n), f(x_0)) < \epsilon \forall n > k$$

From this we prove  $f(x_n) \Rightarrow f(x_0)$ , that mean the  $f$  function be sequentially continuity at  $x_0$  point  $x$ .

Conversely, assume the  $f$  function be sequentially continuity at the  $x_0$  point  $\epsilon x$  and we prove  $f$  unbroken at  $x_0$  point.

now we prove by contradiction assume  $f$  is not unbroken at  $x_0$  point  $\Rightarrow$  there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  so,  $\delta > 0$ , there exist  $x \in X$  and  $d_1(x_n, x_0) < \delta \Rightarrow$

$$d_2(f(x_n), f(x_0)) \geq \epsilon \Rightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \text{ there exist } x_n \in X$$

$$\text{such that } d_1(x, x_0) < \frac{1}{n} \Rightarrow d_2(f(x), f(x_0)) \geq \epsilon$$

so that mean  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$  in  $X$  but  $f(x_n) \not\rightarrow f(x_0)$  in  $Y$  and this contradiction  $\Rightarrow f$  unbroken at  $x_0$ .

**Definition (3.11) [1]:**

A lattice metric linear space  $X$  is considered as normable when the lattice metric function is induced by a metric.

**Theorem (3.12)**

Assume  $X$  is space as metric

.Each ball as open in  $X$  is set as an open 1 .

.2. Every ball as closed in  $X$  is closed

An  $X$  subset is open iff it be open balls family union 3.

.Any finite  $X$  subset is closed 4 .

**Evidence:**

. Suppose  $x_0 \in X$  and  $r > 0$  . To prove  $\beta_r(x_0)$  is set as an open 1

$$\text{Let } x \in \beta_r(x_0) \Rightarrow |x - x_0| < r \Rightarrow r - |x - x_0| > 0$$

$$\text{put } r_1 = r - |x - x_0| \Rightarrow r_1 > 0. \text{ To prove } \beta_{r_1}(x) \subseteq \beta_r(x_0)$$

$$\text{Let } y \in \beta_{r_1}(x) \Rightarrow |y - x| < r_1 \Rightarrow |y - x| < r - |x - x_0| \Rightarrow |y - x| + |x - x_0| < r$$

$$\text{Since } |y - x_0| \leq |y - x| + |x - x_0| \Rightarrow d(y, x_0) < r$$

$$y \in \beta_r(x_0) \Rightarrow \beta_r(x_0) \text{ is open set.}$$

2. Let  $A = (\beta_r(x_0))^c$ . To prove  $A$  is open

$$\text{since } \beta_r(x_0) = \{x \in X: |x - x_0| \leq r\}, \text{ after that } A = \{x \in X: |x - x_0| > r\}$$

$$\text{Let } x \in A \Rightarrow |x - x_0| > r. \text{ Put } r_2 = |x - x_0| - r \Rightarrow r_2 > 0. \text{ To prove}$$

$$\beta_{r_2}(x) \subseteq A$$

$$\text{Let } y \in \beta_{r_2}(x) \Rightarrow |y - x| < r_2 \Rightarrow |y - x| < |x - x_0| - r \Rightarrow |x - x_0| - |y - x| > r$$

$$\text{Since } |x - x_0| \leq |x - y| + |y - x| \Rightarrow |x - x_0| - |y - x| \leq |x - y|$$

$$\Rightarrow |y - x_0| > r \Rightarrow y \in A \Rightarrow \beta_{r_2}(x) \subseteq A \Rightarrow A \text{ is an open set as an open set} \Rightarrow A^c$$

$$= \beta_r(x_0) \text{ is set as an closed .}$$

3. If  $A = \emptyset$  the proof ends . If  $A \neq \emptyset$ .

Suppose  $A$  is open in  $X$ , after that for all  $x \in A$  , there is  $r_x > 0$  so,

$$B_{r_x}(x) \subseteq A \Rightarrow A \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in A} B_{r_x}(x) \subset A \Rightarrow A = \bigcup_{x \in A} B_{r_x}(x) \Rightarrow A$$

is the open balls union.

Conversely, assume:  $A$  be the open balls union

Since every open ball is set as an open, and the set as an open union is set as an open, after that  $A$  is set as an open.

4. Let  $A = \{a\}$ . To prove  $A$  is closed

$$\text{Let } x \in A^c \Rightarrow x \neq a \Rightarrow |a - x| > 0. \text{ Put } r = d(a, x) \Rightarrow r > 0$$

$$\text{As long } a - x \geq r \Rightarrow a \notin \beta_r(x) \Rightarrow \beta_r(x) \cap A = \emptyset \Rightarrow \beta_r(x) \subset A^c \Rightarrow A^c$$

is set as an open, after that  $A$  is closed

Let  $B$  be a finite set if  $B = \emptyset$  , the end proof , if  $B \neq \emptyset$  , after that

$$B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\},$$

Since  $\{b_i\}$  is closed for every  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$  , after that  $B = \bigcup \{b_i\}$  is closed.

**Definition (3.13) [1]:**

Assume  $X$  is space as metric, and let  $A \subseteq X$

1. The set as an open union in  $X$  contained in  $A$  is named the  $A$  interior, signified by  $\text{int}(A)$ .

i.e.  $\text{int}(A) = \bigcup \{B \subseteq X : B \in \mathcal{T}, B \subseteq A\}$ . Thus  $\text{int}(A)$  is the biggest set as an open contained in  $A$ .

$$\text{And } \text{int}(A) \subseteq A. \text{ Hence } \text{int}(A) = \{x : x \in A : \exists r > 0, \beta_r(x) \subseteq A\}, (x \in A : \exists r > 0,$$

$$x + rB_1(0) \subset A\}$$

2-All the set as an closed s intersection have A is named the A closuresignified by  $\bar{A}$ .

i.e.  $\bar{A} = \bigcap \{B \subseteq X: B^c \in T, A \subseteq B\}$ . Thus  $\bar{A}$  is the least set as an closed containing A , and  $A \subseteq \bar{A}$ .

$$\text{Hence } \bar{A} = \{x \in X: \forall r > 0, \exists y \in A \ni |x - y| < r\}, \bar{A} = \bigcap_{r>0} (A + rB_1(0))$$

3.Anx  $\in X$  point is named a limit A point whenever set as an open G in X so,  $x \in G$  and  $A \cap (G \setminus \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$  . All limit A points set is denoted by  $\dot{A}$  and is named the derived set of A .

$$\text{Hence } \dot{A} = \{x \in X: \forall r > 0, \exists y \in A \ni y \neq x, |x - y| < r\}$$

4. The boundary of a subset A is defined as the difference between the closure and the subset A interior, i.e.  $\partial(A) = \bar{A} \cap (\text{int}(A))^c$  .Hence

$$\partial(A) = \{x \in X: \forall r > 0, \exists y \in A, z \in A^c \ni |x - y| < r, |x - z| < r\}$$

5.The A exterior is the complement of  $\bar{A}$  and signified by  $\text{ext}(A)$  , i.e.

$$\text{Ext}(A) = (\bar{A})^c .$$

**Theorem (3.14)**

Suppose X be space asmetric .If M is a subspace of X , after that M is subspace of X.

**Proof:**

Since  $0 \in M \Rightarrow M \subset M \Rightarrow 0 \in M$ , so  $M \neq \emptyset$

Let  $x, y \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in F$ . To prove  $\alpha x + \beta y \in M$

Let  $r > 0$

1.If  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\beta \neq 0$  , after that  $\frac{r}{2|\alpha|}$  and  $\frac{r}{2|\beta|} > 0$

There exist a , b  $\in M$  so

$$|x - a| < \frac{r}{2|\alpha|} \text{ and } |y - b| < \frac{r}{2|\beta|}$$

Since M is subspace and a ,b  $\in M$  , after that  $\alpha a + \beta b \in M$

$$(\alpha x + \beta y) - (\alpha a + \beta b) = \alpha(x - a) + \beta(y - b)$$

$$|(\alpha x + \beta y) - (\alpha a + \beta b)| \leq |\alpha| |x - a| + |\beta| |y - b| < |\alpha| \frac{r}{2|\alpha|} + |\beta| \frac{r}{2|\beta|} = r$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha x + \beta y \in M.$$

-If  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = 0$ , after that  $\alpha x + \beta y = 0 \in M \subset M^2$

-If  $\alpha = 0$  or  $\beta = 0$ , after that  $\alpha x + \beta y = \beta y$  or  $\alpha x + \beta y = \alpha x$ , so  $\alpha x + \beta y \in M^3$

Hence  $M$  is subspace of  $X$ .

**Definition(3.15) [1]:**

Assume  $X$  is a set as non-empty. A series in  $X$  is any function from  $\mathbb{N}$  (all natural numbers set) into  $X$ . When  $f$  is a series in  $X$ , the image  $f(n)$  of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is usually, signified by  $x_n$ . It is ordinary to signify the classical sequence of the symbol  $\{x_n\}$ . So,  $\{x_n\}$  the actual numbers sequence if  $X = \mathbb{E}$ . Sometime, we write it as  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots\}$ . The  $n$  image  $x_n$  is named the  $n$ th term of the sequence.

Note that, there is difference between the sequence and its range. For example, the range of the order  $\{(-1)^n\}$  is  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{-1, 1\}$  but the sequence is  $\{x_n\} = \{(-1)^n\} = \{1, -1, 1, -1, \dots\}$ .

**Definition (3.16)[1]:**

An  $\{x_n\}$  sequence in space as  $X$  metric is considered as

1. Converge to the  $x \in X$  point, When  $\lim d(x_n, x) = 0$ , such as. When for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , it be present as  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  so,  $d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon$  for whole  $n \geq k$ . and we write  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  or  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  it tracks that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  iff  $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$

2. Sequence of Cauchy in  $X$ , when for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

, it be present as  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  so,  $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n, m \geq k$

4. Bounded, when there is  $M > 0$  so,  $|x_n| \leq M$  for all  $n$ .

**Theorem (3.17)**

Assume  $X$  is a lattice normed space and let  $A \subseteq X$

1. Limit point of sequence is unique.

2. Every convergence lattice arrangement is sequence of Cauchy, nevertheless the converse not correct.

3. Each sequence of Cauchy is bounded, lattice but the converse not true

4.  $x \in \bar{A}$  when  $f$  it be present as an arrangement  $\{x_n\}$  in  $A$  so  $x_n \rightarrow x$

5. When a sequence of Cauchy in  $X$  has a convergent sub-sequence, after that the sequence is convergent.

6. When  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{x_n\}$  are sequences of Cauchy in  $X$ , after that  $d(x_n - y_n)$  is convergent in  $E$

**Proof:**

1. Assume  $\{x_n\}$  is an X sequence so,  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $x_n \rightarrow y$

$$\text{If } x \neq y, \text{ then } d(x - y) > 0. \text{ put } |x - y| = \varepsilon \Rightarrow \varepsilon > 0$$

Since  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , after that it be present as  $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  so  $|x_n - x| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for all  $n > k_1$

Also since  $x_n \rightarrow y$ , after that it be present as  $k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  so  $|x_n - y| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for whole  $n > k_2$

$$|x_n - x| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, d(x_n, y) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ Taking } k = \max \{k_1, k_2\}, \text{ we get}$$

For whole  $n > k$

$$\varepsilon = x - y \leq x_n - x + x_n - y < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

This contradiction. Hence  $x = y$

2. Assume  $\{x_n\}$  be a converge X sequence, after that it be present as  $x \in X$  so

$x_n \rightarrow x$  suppose  $\varepsilon > 0$

For whole  $n > k$  Since  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , after that it be present as  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  so,  $|x_n - x| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$

$$\text{If } n, m > k, \text{ after that } |x_n - x| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, |x_m - x| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$|x_n - x_m| \leq |x_n - x| + |x_m - x| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

Therefore  $\{x_n\}$  is sequence of Cauchy in X.

3. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of Cauchy in X

Let  $\varepsilon = 1$ , after that it be present as  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  so,  $|x_n - x_m| < 1$  for whole  $n, m > k$

After that, for all  $n \geq k$ ,  $|x_n| = |x_n - x_k + x_k| \leq |x_n - x_k| + |x_k| < 1 + |x_k|$

Take  $r = \max \{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_{k-1}|, 1 + |x_k|\}$ , then  $|x_n| \leq r$  for all  $n$ .

Therefore  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence being bounded in X

. Suppose  $x \in \bar{A}$

Since  $\bar{A} = A \cup A'$ , after that  $x \in A \cup A'$ , either  $x \in A$  or  $x \in A'$

If  $x \in A$ , after that  $\{x\}$  is a sequence in A so  $x$

Or  $x \in A'$ , after that  $A \cap (B_r(x) \setminus \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$

$\mathbb{Z}^+$

For whole  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$   $\{x_n\} \subset A \cap (\beta(x))$

After that  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $A$ . TO prove  $x_n \rightarrow x$

$x \in \bar{A}$  When  $f$  it be present as a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  so,  $x_n \rightarrow x$

$< \varepsilon$  Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , after that there is  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  so  $\frac{1}{k} < \varepsilon$

Since  $x_n \in \beta(x) \Rightarrow |x_n - x| < \frac{1}{n} \Rightarrow$  for whole  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

Let  $n > k \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{k} \Rightarrow |x_n - x| < \frac{1}{k} < \varepsilon \Rightarrow x_n \rightarrow x$

Conversely, consider  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $A$  so,  $x_n \rightarrow x$

To prove  $x \in \bar{A}$ , i.e.  $x \in A \cup A'$ . If  $x \in A$  after that  $x \in \bar{A}$

Or  $x \in A'$ , let  $G$  be set as open in  $X$  so,  $x \in G$ , after that there is  $r > 0$

So  $B_r(x) \subseteq G$

Since  $r > 0$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x$  after that there is  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  so  $|x_n - x| < r$  for whole  $n > k$

$\Rightarrow x_n \in B_r(x)$  for all  $n > k$ , Since  $x_n \in A$  for whole  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \Rightarrow A \cap (B_r(x) \setminus \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$

Since  $B_r(x) \subset G \Rightarrow A \cap (G \setminus \{x\}) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow x \in A' \Rightarrow x \in \bar{A}$

5. Suppose  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence of Cauchy in a space as metric  $(X, d)$  and suppose  $\{x_{i_n}\}$  be a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  converging to  $x_0 \in X$ , i.e.  $x_{i_n} \rightarrow x_0$ . To prove  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , since  $\{x_{i_n}\}$  is converge  $\Rightarrow \{x_{i_n}\}$  is a sequence of Cauchy, after that there is

$k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  so  $|x_{i_n} - x_{i_m}| < \varepsilon$  for all  $n, m \geq k$

Since  $\{i_n\}$  is an increasing strictly positive integers sequence

Making  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $d(x_n, x_0) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq k \Rightarrow x_n \rightarrow x_0$

**Definition(3.18)[1]:**

A space as metric  $X$  is complete if each sequence of Cauchy is converges the point  $x \in X$

**Theorem (3.19)**

Each complete space metric subspace is complete when  $f$  is closed

**Evidence**

Assume  $M$  is a sub-space of a complete space as metric  $X$

Suppose that  $M$  is complete. To prove  $M$  is closed

Suppose  $x \in M$ , after that a  $\{x_n\}$  sequence in  $M$  so,  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , hence  $\{x_n\}$  be

a sequence of Cauchy in  $M$ , since  $M$  is complete, thus is  $y \in M$  as long  $x_n \rightarrow y$ , but the limit is unique,  $y = x \Rightarrow x \in M \Rightarrow M \subseteq M$  but  $M \subseteq M$ , after that  $M = M$ . Hence  $M$  is closed.

Conversely, suppose that  $M$  be closed

Assume  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of Cauchy in  $M$ , as long  $M \subseteq X \Rightarrow \{X_n\}$  be a sequence of Cauchy in  $X$  as long  $X$  is complete, after that there is  $x \in X$  so  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ,

since  $x_n \in M$ , after that  $x \in M$ . Since  $M$  is closed, then  $M = M \Rightarrow x \in M \Rightarrow \{X_n\}$

converge in  $M$ , after that  $M$  is complete space.

**Theorem (3.20)**

Suppose  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{x_n\}$  are 2 sequences in space as metric  $X$  so,  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y$

1.  $x_n + y_n \rightarrow x + y$

2.  $\lambda x_n \rightarrow \lambda x$  for all  $\lambda \in F$

3.  $|x_n| \rightarrow |x|$

4.  $|x_n - y_n| \rightarrow |x - y|$

5. If  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a sequence in  $F$  so  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$ , after that  $\lambda_n x_n \rightarrow \lambda x$

**proof:**

1.  $|(x_n + y_n) - (x + y)| = |(x_n - x) + (y_n - y)| \leq |y_n - y| \leq |x_n - x| + |y_n - y|$

2.  $\lambda x_n \rightarrow \lambda x$

$|\lambda x_n| = |\lambda| |x_n|$ , since  $|x_n| \rightarrow 0$  and  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

after that  $\lambda |x_n| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$|\lambda x_n| \rightarrow |\lambda x|$$

$$\lambda x_n \rightarrow \lambda x$$

3. Since  $||x_n| - |x|| \leq |x_n - x|$  and  $|x_n - x| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , after that

$||x_n| - |x|| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e.  $|x_n| \rightarrow |x|$

4.  $||x_n - y_n| - |x - y|| \leq |(x_n - y_n) - (x - y)| \leq |x_n - x| + |y_n - y|$

Since  $|x_n - x| \rightarrow 0$  and  $|y_n - y| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , after that  $||x_n - y_n| - |x - y||$

$\rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

5.  $|\lambda_n x_n - \lambda x| = |\lambda_n x_n - \lambda_n x + \lambda_n x - \lambda x| = |\lambda_n(x_n - x) + (\lambda_n - \lambda)x| \leq |\lambda_n|$

$$|x_n - x| + |\lambda_n - \lambda| |x|$$

Since  $|x_n - x| \rightarrow 0$  and  $|\lambda_n - \lambda| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , after that  $|\lambda_n x_n - \lambda x|$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

## References

1. Al- Mayahi. N.F, Introduction in Mathematical analysis, Al- Qadisiyah University, ( 2015).
2. M.m Noor .R.hameed , Daniell Integration for functions on locally compact space . Al- Qadisiyah University . Iraq . 2022 .
3. Nariman Abasov. lattice normed space, Ann Funct. Anal. 7 (2016), no. 4, 646-655.
4. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, Classical Banach Spaces, Vol. 2 : Function Spaces, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3) 97, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
5. Zb1 0403.46022. MR0540367. 647
6. M.Pliev, Narrow operators on lattice-normed spaces, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 9 (2011), no. 6, 1276-1287. Zb1 1253.47024. MR2836720. DOI 10.2478/s11533-011-0090-3. 646, 648, 650, 653
7. A. M. Plichko and M. M. Popov, Symmetric function spaces on atomless probability space, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) 306 (1990), 1-85. Zb1 0715.46011. MR1082412. 646
8. Boyko., Kadets V. Narrow Operators On Bochner  $L_1$ -Spaces // Journal of Math. Physics, Analysis, Geometry (46), 2002. (2), p. 421-441.
9. Mazumdar, N., Sharma, J. K., Shavkatovich, S. N., Uike, D., Kadam, S., Verma, M., ... & Al Ansari, M. S. (2023). Application of distinct multi criteria decision analysis techniques in the manufacturing sector: A comprehensive review. *Materials Today: Proceedings*.
10. Bilik D., Kadets V., Shvidkov R., Sirotkin G., werner D. Narrow Operators On Vector-Valued Sup-Normed Spaces // Illinois Journal of Math. (2), 2006. (4), P. 358-371.
11. Chawla, R., Konda, H. K., Deshmukh, A. A., Sagar, K. D., Al Ansari, M. S., & Taloba, A. I. (2022). A Hybrid Optimization Approach with Deep Learning Technique for the Classification of Dental Caries. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 13(12).
12. AbdAlgani, Y. M., Caro, O. J. M., Bravo, L. M. R., Kaur, C., Al Ansari, M. S., & Bala, B. K. (2023). Leaf disease identification and classification using optimized deep learning. *Measurement: Sensors*, 25, 100643.
13. Ghossoub N., Rosenthal H. P.: Martingales  $G_\delta$  embeddings and quotients of  $L_1$  // Math. Ann., 264(9), 1983. P. 321-332.
14. Naidu, K. B., Prasad, B. R., Hassen, S. M., Kaur, C., Al Ansari, M. S., Vinod, R., ... & Bala, B. K. (2022). Analysis of Hadoop log file in an environment for dynamic detection of threats using machine learning. *Measurement: Sensors*, 24, 100545.
15. Johnson W. B., Maurey B., Schechtman G., Tzafriri L. Symmetric structures in Ba-nach spaces // Memories Amer. Math. Soc. (19), 1979.
16. Kantorovich L. V.: Some classes functional equations // Doklads Russian Academy of Science Math. Ann., 4(5), 1936. P. 211-216.
17. Zainal, A. G., Kaur, C., Al Ansari, M. S., Borda, R. F. C., Nageswaran, A., & Abd El-Aziz, R. M. (2022). Recognition of Copy Move Forgeries in Digital Images using Hybrid Optimization and Convolutional Neural Network Algorithm. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 13(12).

18. Zhu, J., Jiang, X., Rong, Y., Wei, W., Wu, S., Jiao, T., & Chen, Q. (2023). Label-free detection of trace level zearalenone in corn oil by surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) coupled with deep learning models. *Food Chemistry*, 414, 135705.
19. Kevin A. Representation of compact and weakly compact operators on the space of Bochnerintegrable functions // *Pacif. J. Math.* 92(2), 1981. P. 257-267.
20. Garret Birkhoff, lattice theory, Harvard University, American mathematical society. 581 west 116<sup>TH</sup> street New York city, 1948.