

Semi Centralizing Pair of Automorphisms of Prime rings

H.HabeebRani*

V.Thiripurasundari†

G.Gopala Krishnamoorthy‡

Article Info**Page Number: 10459-10465****Publication Issue:****Vol. 71 No. 4 (2022)****Article History****Article Received: 12 October 2022****Revised: 24 November 2022****Accepted: 18 December 2022****Abstract**

The concept of semi centralizing automorphism of rings is generalized as Semi-centralizing pair of automorphisms of rings and more general results are obtained. In this paper we generalize the concept of semi-centralizing automorphism of a ring as semi – centralizing pair of automorphism of a ring and more general results are proved.

Keywords: automorphisms, primerings Mathematics Subject Classification: 03

E72, 47S40[§]

1. Introduction

Let T be an automorphism of ring. It is called commuting automorphism of R if $xT(x)=T(x)x, \forall x \in R$ and it is called a semi-commuting automorphism if either $xT(x)=T(x)x$ (or) $xT(x)=-T(x)x$, for each $x \in R$. In [1] L.O.Chung and J.Luh have proved that a prime ring R of characteristic $\neq 2,3$ possessing a non – trivial semi – commuting automorphism is necessarily a commutative integral domain. In [5] A.Kaya and C. Koc have defined semi – centralizing automorphism of a ring and proved that every semi – centralizing automorphism of a prime ring is commuting.

In this paper we generalize the concept of semi-centralizing automorphism of a ring as semi – centralizing pair of automorphism of a ring and more general results are proved.

2. Preliminary

In this section we shall recollect some known definitions and results for easy reference.

Definition 2.1 let T be an automorphism of a ring R . T is called

a) a commuting automorphism if $xT(x)=T(x)x, \forall x \in R$ and

* Assistant Professor of Mathematics, H.K.R.H.College, Uthamapalayam, Theni district, Tamilnadu. Email habeebrani23@gmail.com

† Assistant Professor of Mathematics, Sri S.R.N.M.College, Sattur, Virudhunagar district, Tamilnadu, Email Id: thiripurasund@gmail.com

‡ Advisor, Sri Krishnasamy Arts and Science College, Mettamalai, Sattur, Tamilnadu. Email Id: ggrmoorthy@gmail.com

§ Received on July 10st 2022. Accepted on Sep 15th, 2022. Published on Dec 25th, 2022. doi: 10.23755/rm.v45i0.1018. ISSN: 1592-7415. eISSN: 2282-8214. ©The Authors. This paper is published under the CC-BY licence agreement.

- b) an anti-commuting automorphism if $xT(x) = -T(x)x, \forall x \in R$.
- c) a semicommuting automorphism if either $xT(x) = T(x)x$ (or) $xT(x) = -T(x)x$
 - i. $\forall x \in R$.
 - ii. a centralizing automorphism if $xT(x) - T(x)x \in Z, \forall x \in R$.
 - iii. an anti-centralizing automorphism if $xT(x) + T(x)x \in Z, \forall x \in R$.
 - iv. a semi-centralizing automorphism if either $xT(x) - T(x)x \in Z$ (or) $xT(x) + T(x)x \in Z, \forall x \in R$.

Definition 2.2 [5] Let T be an automorphism of R , we define $R_+ = \{X \in R / XT(X) - T(X)X \in Z\}$ and $R_- = \{X \in R / XT(X) + T(X)X \in Z\}$

Lemma 2.3 [5] Let R be any ring and T be a semi centralizing automorphism of R .

If $x, y \in R_+$ {resp in R_- } then $x+y \in R_+$ {resp in R_- } if and only if $x-y \in R_+$ {resp in R_- }.

Lemma 2.4 [5] Let R be a primring and if $y^n = 0$, for all $y \notin R_+$ where $n > 1$ is a fixed integer, then $y^{n-1} = 0$.

Lemma 2.5 [4] Let R be prime ring with Non-Trivial centralizing Pair of automorphisms S and T such that $S \neq T$. Then R is a Commutative Integral Domain.

3. Main Results

Definition 3.1 Let S and T be two non-trivial automorphisms of a ring. They are called,

- a) a commuting pair of automorphism if $S(x)T(x) = T(x)S(x), \forall x \in R$.
- b) an anti-commuting pair of automorphisms if $S(x)T(x) = -T(x)S(x), \forall x \in R$.
- c) a semi-commuting pair of automorphism if either $S(x)T(x) = T(x)S(x)$ (or) $S(x)T(x) = -T(x)S(x), \forall x \in R$.
- d) a centralizing pair of automorphism if $S(x)T(x) - T(x)S(x) \in Z, \forall x \in R$.
- e) an anti-centralizing pair of automorphisms if $S(x)T(x) + T(x)S(x) \in Z, \forall x \in R$.
- f) a semi-centralizing pair of automorphism if either $S(x)T(x) - T(x)S(x) \in Z$ (or) $S(x)T(x) + T(x)S(x) \in Z$ for all $x \in R$.

Definition 3.2 Let r be any ring and S and T be two maps from R into R . We define, $R_+ = \{X \in R / S(X)T(X) - T(X)S(X) \in Z\}$ AND, $R_- = \{X \in R / S(X)T(X) + T(X)S(X) \in Z\}$.

Remark 3.3 If S and T are SemiCentralizing Automorphisms of R . then $R = R_+ \cup R_-$.

Lemma 3.4 Let R be any Ring and S and T be non-trivial Automorphisms of R .

If $x, y \in R_-$ {resp in R_+ } then $x+y \in R_-$ {resp in R_+ } if and only if $x-y \in R_-$ {resp in R_+ }.

(resp in \mathbb{R}^+).

Proof:

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^-$.

Then $S(x)T(x) + T(x)S(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$

————— 1 —————→

$S(y)T(y) + T(y)S(y) \in \mathbb{Z}$

————— 2 —————→

$x+y \in \mathbb{R}^-$ iff $S(x+y)T(x+y) + T(x+y)S(x+y) \in \mathbb{Z}$

$(x+y) \in \mathbb{Z}$ iff $(S(x)+S(y))(T(x)+T(y)) + (T(x)+T(y))(S(x)+S(y)) \in \mathbb{Z}$

iff $S(x)T(x) + S(x)T(y) + S(y)T(x) + S(y)T(y)$

$+ T(x)S(x) + T(x)S(y) + T(y)S(x) + T(y)S(y) \in \mathbb{Z}$

Using (1) and (2), we get

$x+y \in \mathbb{R}^-$ iff $S(x)T(y) + S(y)T(x) + T(x)S(y) + T(y)S(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

iff $-S(x)T(y) - S(y)T(x) - T(x)S(y) - T(y)S(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

iff $S(x)T(x) - S(x)T(y) - S(y)T(x) + S(y)T(y) + T(x)S(x) - T(x)S(y) - T(y)S(x)$

$+ T(y)S(y) \in \mathbb{Z}$. iff $S(x)(T(x)-T(y)) - S(y)(T(x)-T(y)) + T(x)(S(x)-S(y)) - T(y)(S(x)-S(y)) \in \mathbb{Z}$

iff $(S(x)-S(y))(T(x)-T(y)) + (T(x)-T(y))(S(x)-S(y)) \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

iff $S(x-y)T(x-y) + T(x-y)S(x-y) \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. iff $x-y \in \mathbb{R}^-$.

Repeating the above argument, it can be proved that $x+y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ iff $x-y \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Remark 3.5 Taking $S=I$, The Identity Automorphism Of \mathbb{R} , We Get Lemma 1 [5]

Lemma 3.6 Let R Be A Prime Ring And S And T Be Semi Centralizing Pair Of Automorphisms Of R .

If $y \notin \mathbb{R}^+$, then $S(y^2)T(y^2) = 0$.

Proof

Case i) $\text{Char } R \neq 2$.

Since S and T are semi centralizing automorphisms of R , we have $R = \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \mathbb{R}^-$.

If $y \notin \mathbb{R}^+$, then $y \in \mathbb{R}^-$.

So, $S(y)T(y) + T(y)S(y) \in \mathbb{Z}$

1

Hence $[S(y)T(y) + T(y)S(y), S(y)] = 0$

i.e., $[S(y)T(y), S(y)] + [T(y)S(y), S(y)] = 0$

$S(y)[T(y), S(y)] + [S(y), S(y)]T(y) + T(y)[S(y), S(y)] + [T(y), S(y)]S(y) = 0$

i.e, $S(y)[T(y),S(y)]+[T(y),S(y)]S(y)=0$

$S(y)(T(y)S(y)-S(y)T(y))+(T(y)S(y)-S(y)T(y))S(y)=0$

$S(y)((T(y)S(y)-S(y^2)T(y))+|T(y)S(y^2)-S(y)T(y)S(y)|)=0 \implies S(y^2)T(y)-T(y)S(y^2)=0$

i.e, $[S(y^2), T(y)] = 0, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ 2

Also $[S(y)T(y)+T(y)S(y), T(y)] = 0$

i.e, $[S(y)T(y), T(y)]+[T(y)S(y), T(y)]=0$

$S(y)[T(y), T(y)]+[S(y), T(y)]T(y)+T(y)[S(y)T(y)]+[T(y), T(y)]S(y) = 0$

$(S(y)T(y)-T(y)S(y))T(y)+T(y)(S(y)T(y)-T(y)S(y)) = 0.$

i.e, $S(y)T(y^2)-T(y)S(y)T(y)+T(y)S(y)T(y)-T(y^2)S(y)=0$. i.e, $T(y^2)S(y)-S(y)T(y^2)=0.$

i.e, $[T(y^2), S(y)]=0, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ 3

Now, $[S(y^2+y), T(y^2+y)]=[S(y^2)+S(y), T(y^2)+T(y)]$

$= [S(y^2), T(y^2)]+[S(y^2), T(y)]+[S(y), T(y^2)]+[S(y), T(y)]$

$= S(y)[S(y), T(y^2)]+[S(y), T(y^2)]S(y)+[S(y), T(y)][S(y^2+y), T(y^2+y)]=[S(y), T(y)]4$

Similarly,

$[S(y^2-y), T(y^2-y)]=[S(y), T(y)]$ 5

Using(4)and(5), gives

$[S(y^2+y), T(y^2+y)]=[S(y^2-y), T(y^2-y)]$

$= [S(y), T(y)], \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ 6

Now $y \in \mathbb{R}^+ \implies [S(y), T(y)] \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

Hence, $[S(y^2+y), T(y^2+y)]=[S(y^2-y), T(y^2-y)] \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

So, neither y^2+yn nor y^2-y belong to \mathbb{R}^+ . So $y^2+y \in \mathbb{R}^-$ and $y^2-y \in \mathbb{R}^-$.

Since $y \in \mathbb{R}^-$, it is clear that $ny \in \mathbb{R}^-$ for all possible integer n . Now, $(y^2+y)+(y^2-y)=2y \in \mathbb{R}^-$,

So, by lemma 3.4

$(y^2+y)+(y^2-y)=2y^2 \in \mathbb{R}^-.$

Hence $y^2 \in \mathbb{R}^-.$

Hence $S(y^2)T(y^2)+T(y^2)S(y^2) \in \mathbb{Z}$ 7

Now, $S(y^2)T(y^2)=S(y)(S(y)T(y^2))$

$$=S(y)(T(y^2)S(y))(Using(3))$$

$$=(S(y)(T(y^2))S(y))$$

$$=(T(y^2)S(y))S(y)(Using(3))$$

$$S(y^2)T(y^2)=T(y^2)S(y^2) \tag{8}$$

From(7)and(8)we get,

$$2S(y^2)T(y^2)=2T(y^2)S(y^2)\in Z$$

$$HenceS(y^2)T(y^2)=T(y^2)S(y^2)\in Z, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^+ \tag{9}$$

Since $y^2+y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ using(2)weget, $[S(y^2+y)^2, T(y^2+y)]=0$

$$[S(y^4+2y^3+y^2), T(y^2+y)]=0$$

$$[S(y^4), T(y^2)]+[S(y^4), T(y)]+2[S(y^3), T(y^2)]+2[S(y^3), T(y)]+[S(y^2), T(y^2)]$$

$$+[S(y^2), T(y)]=0.$$

Since $y^2 \notin \mathbb{R}^+$,by(2) $[S(y^4), T(y^2)]=0$.

Now, $[S(y^4), T(y)]=S(y^2)[S(y^2), T(y)]+[S(y^2), T(y)]S(y^2)=0$.

Also, $[S(y^2), T(y^2)]=S(y^2)[S(y), T(y^2)]+[S(y^2), T(y^2)]S(y)$.

$$= \{S(y)[S(y), T(y^2)]+[S(y), T(y^2)]S(y)\}S(y) \tag{using (3)}$$

$$=0.$$

Also, $[S(y^2), T(y^2)]=S(y)[S(y), T(y^2)]+[S(y), T(y^2)]S(y)=0$

Hence, $2[S(y^3), T(y)]=0$.

Since, $Char\mathbb{R} \neq 2$,weget $[S(y^3), T(y)]=0$

i.e, $S(y^2)[S(y), T(y)]+[S(y^2), T(y)]S(y)=0$ i.e, $S(y^2)[S(y), T(y)]=0, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

$\therefore T(y^2)S(y^2)[S(y), T(y)]=0, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

By(9), $T(y^2)S(y^2) \in Z$, Since \mathbb{R} isprimeand $[S(y), T(y)] \neq 0$,wehave $T(y^2)S(y^2)=0$ i.e, $S(y^2)T(y^2)=T(y^2)S(y^2)=0, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Case(ii): _

$Char(\mathbb{R})=2$. Then $x=-x \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$.

So, every semicentralizing pair of automorphisms are centralizing pair of automorphisms. So, by Theorem 2.5[4] they are commuting pair of automorphisms.

$$So, [S(y^2), T(y^2)]=0$$

$$\text{i.e., } S(y^2)T(y^2) - T(y^2)S(y^2) = 0$$

$$\text{i.e., } S(y^2)T(y^2) = T(y^2)S(y^2) = -T(y^2)S(y^2)$$

$$\text{Now, } 2S(y^2)T(y^2) = S(y^2)T(y^2) + S(y^2)T(y^2).$$

$$= S(y^2)T(y^2) - T(y^2)S(y^2).$$

$$= 0.$$

Since $\text{Char } R = 2$, we get $S(y^2)T(y^2) = 0, \forall y \in R^+$.

Hence the proof.

Remark 3.8 Taking $S = R$, the Identity automorphism of R , we get Lemma 2 [5].

Theorem 3.9 Let R be a priming ring and S and T be a semicentralizing pair of automorphisms of R . Then S and T are commuting pair of automorphisms.

Proof

Let $x \in R$ and $y \in R^+$. Consider the element $xy^2 + y$, since S and T are semicentralizing pair of automorphisms of R . We have

$$c = S(xy^2 + y^2) + T(xy^2 + y^2) \pm T(xy^2 + y^2)S(xy^2 + y^2) \in Z.$$

$$\text{i.e., } c = S(xy^2)T(xy^2) + S(xy^2)T(y^2) + S(y^2)T(xy^2) + S(y^2)T(y^2) \pm T(xy^2)S(xy^2) + T(xy^2)S(y^2) + T(y^2)S(xy^2) + T(y^2)S(y^2) \in Z.$$

Using lemma 3.6 we get

$$c = S(xy^2)T(xy^2) + S(x)S(y^2)T(y^2) + S(y^2)T(x)T(y^2) \pm T(xy^2)S(xy^2) + T(x)T(y^2)S(y^2) + T(y^2)S(x)S(y^2) \in Z.$$

Again, using lemma 3.6, we get

$$c = S(x)S(y^2)T(x)T(y^2) + S(y^2)T(x)T(y^2) \pm T(x)T(y^2)S(x)S(y^2) + T(y^2)S(x)S(y^2) \in Z.$$

$$cT(y^2) = S(x)S(y^2)T(x)T(y^2) + S(y^2)T(x)T(y^2) \pm T(x)T(y^2)S(x)S(y^2) + T(y^2)S(x)S(y^2)T(y^2) \in Z. \tag{T}$$

$$\text{i.e., } cT(y^2) = S(x)S(y^2)T(x)T(y^4) + S(y^2)T(x)T(y^4) \in Z. \tag{1}$$

Similarly considering the element $xy^2 - y^2$, we get

$$dT(y^2) = S(x)S(y^2)T(x)T(y^4) - S(y^2)T(x)T(y^4) \in Z, \text{ for some } d \in Z. \tag{2}$$

(1) - (2) gives,

$$(c - d)T(y^2) = 2S(y^2)T(x)T(y^4).$$

$$\therefore T(y^2)(c-d)T(y^2) = 2T(y^2)S(y^2)T(x)T(y^4) \in Z.$$

3

Using lemma 3.6, we get, $(c-d)T(y^4) = 0$ (using $c-d \in Z$)

$$\text{If } c \neq d, T(y^4) = 0 \text{ and } S(y^4) = 0$$

4

If $c = d$, then from (4) and (2), we get

$$S(x)S(y^2)T(x)T(y^4) + S(y^2)T(x)T(y^4) = S(x)S(y^2)T(x)T(y^4) - S(y^2)T(x)T(y^4), \text{ i.e., } 2S(y^2)T(x)T(y^4) = 0.$$

Since R is prime either $S(y^2) = 0$ (or) $T(y^4) = 0$. In either case we get $y^4 = 0$.

Thus, if $y \notin R^+$, then $y^4 = 0$

5

Then using lemma 2.4 repeatedly, we get $y = 0$. Hence $R = R^+$ and so S and T are commuting pair of automorphism of R .

Corollary 3.10 Prime ring R possessing a non-trivial semi centralizing pair of automorphism is a Commutative integral Domain.

Corollary 3.11 A prime ring R possessing a non-trivial semi commuting pair of automorphism is a commutative integral domain.

Remark 3.12 Taking $S=R$, the identity automorphism of R , we get Theorem [5]

Conclusions

In this paper we investigated we generalize the concept of semi-centralizing automorphism of a ring as semi – centralizing pair of automorphism of a ring and more general results are proved.

References

1. L.O.Chung and J.Luh, On semi commuting automorphisms of rings Canada. Maths. Bull. 21(1), 1978, 13–16.
2. W.Divinsky, On commuting automorphism of rings Trans. Roy. Soc. Canada. Sect III 49(1955) 19-22.
3. J. Luh, A note on commuting automorphisms of rings. Ames, Math, Monthly 77, (1970), 61–62.
4. H.Habeeb Rani, G.Gopala Krishnamoorthy and V.Thiripura Sundari, On commuting pair and centralizing pair of automorphisms of rings, Advances in mathematics, Sci, Jour, 10(2021). No. 3, 1663-1673.
5. A.Kaya and C. KOC, Semi – centralizing automorphisms of prime rings, Acta, Mathematica Academi Scien, 38(1-4) (1981), 53–55.
6. H.Mayne, Centralizing automorphism of prime rings, Canada Math. Bull. 19(1976) 113–115.