

# Distance in Partial Metric Spaces and Common Fixed Point Theorems

Gajanan Dhanorkar<sup>1</sup>, Vidhyadhar Nalawade<sup>2</sup>, Kavthekar Nirmala<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Rayat Shikshan Sansthas, Karmveer Bhaurao Patil College, Vashi, Navi Mumbai, India.

<sup>2</sup>S. G. R. G. Shinde Mahavidyalaya, Paranda, India.

<sup>3</sup>Mudhoji College, Phaltan, Satara, India.

## Article Info

**Page Number:** 8319-8324

**Publication Issue:**

**Vol. 71 No. 4 (2022)**

## Article History

**Article Received:** 25 March 2022

**Revised:** 30 April 2022

**Accepted:** 15 June 2022

## Abstract:

In this paper, we have proved some results of fixed point on partial metric spaces.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H25, 47H10

**Keywords:** Cone, complete metric space, Cone metric space, Partial metric space, Fixed point, Common fixed point .

## 1. Introduction

In 1992, Matthews [1] introduced a concept, and basic properties of partial metric (pmetric) functions. The partial metric space is a generalization of the usual metric space in which the self-distance is no longer necessarily zero. The failure of a metric function in computer studies was the primary motivation behind the introductory of the partial metrics [2]. After introducing the partial metric functions, Matthews [2] also proved the partial metric version of the Banach fixed point theorem; this makes the partial metric function relevant in fixed point theory. The topological properties of partial metric space studied by [10]. In 1999, Heckmann [7] established some results using a generalization of the partial metric function called a weak partial metric function. In 2004, Oltra and Valero [8] also generalized the Matthews's fixed point theorem in a complete partial metric space, in the sense of O'Neill. In 2013, Shukla et al.[6] introduced the notion of asymptotically regular mappings in a partial metric space, and established some fixed point results. Recently, Onsod et al. [3] established some fixed point results in a complete partial metric space endowed with a graph. Very recently, Batsari and Kumam [9] established the existence, and uniqueness of globally stable fixed points of an asymptotically contractive mappings. Also Dhanorkar proved some results [4, 5] using some of the properties of a partial metric function. In order to understand and develop the theory of partial metric space better, we shall draw our attention to certain fixed point theorems in this paper.

## 2. Preliminary Notes

Huang and Zhang [2] defined following cone metric space

**Definition 2.1** [2] Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. Suppose the mapping  $p: X \times X \rightarrow E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said to be a partial metric on  $X$  if for any  $x, y, z \in X$  the following conditions hold:

(p1)  $p(x, y) = p(y, x)$  (symmetry),

(p2) If  $p(x, x) = p(x, y) = p(y, y)$  then  $x = y$  (equality),

(p3)  $p(x, x) \leq p(x, y)$  (small self distances),

(p4)  $p(x, z) \leq p(x, y) + p(y, z) - p(y, y)$  (traingularity) Then  $(X, p)$  is called a partial metric space .

Notice that For a given partial metric  $p$  on  $X$ , the function  $d_p: X \times X \rightarrow E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  given by

$$d_p(x, y) = 2p(x, y) - p(x, x) - p(y, y)$$

is a metric on  $X$ . Observe that each partial metric  $p$  on  $X$  generates a  $T_0$  topology

$T_p$  on  $X$  with a base of the family of open  $p$ -balls  $\{B_p(x, \epsilon) / x \in X, \epsilon > 0\}$ ,

where  $B_p(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in X / p(x, y) < p(x, x) + \epsilon\}$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . similarly, closed  $p$ -ball is defined as  $B_p(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in X / p(x, y) \leq p(x, x) + \epsilon\}$

**Definition 2.2** [2] (i) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a partial metric space  $(X, p)$  converge to  $x \in X$  if and only if  $p(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x, x_n)$

(ii) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a partial metric space  $(X, p)$  is called Cauchy if and only if  $x \in X$  if and only if  $p(x, x) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m)$  is finite,

(iii) A partial metric space  $(X, p)$  is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  converges, with respect to  $T_p$ , to a point  $x \in X$  such that  $p(x, x) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m)$

(iv) A mapping  $f: X \rightarrow X$  is said to be continuous at  $x_0 \in X$  if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $\delta > 0$  such that  $f(B((x_0), \delta)) \subset B(fx_0, \epsilon)$

**Definition 2.3** [2] (i) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy in a partial metric space  $(X, p)$  if and only if  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy in a metric space  $(X, d_p)$ ,

(ii) A partial metric space  $(X, p)$  is complete if and only if a metric space  $(X, d_p)$  is complete.

Moreover

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_p(x, x_n) = 0 \Rightarrow p(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_p(x, x_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} d_p(x_n, x_m)$$

### 3. Main results

In this section, a common fixed point theorem is proved for a pair of self mapping defined on a cone metric space under a plane contractive condition.

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $(X, d)$  be a partial metric space. Suppose the mappings  $f, g: X \rightarrow X$  satisfy

$$d(fx, fy) \leq kd(gx, gy), \text{ for all } x, y \in X \quad (3.1)$$

where  $k \in [0, 1/3]$  is a constant. if the  $f(X) \subset g(X)$  is a complete subspace of  $X$ , then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique point of coincidence in  $X$ .

Proof. Let  $x_0$  be any arbitrary point in  $X$ . Choose a point  $x_1$  in  $X$  such that  $f(x_0) = g(x_1)$ . this holds, since the range of  $g$  contains the range of  $f$ . Continuing this process, having choose  $x_n$  in  $X$ , we obtain  $x_{n+1}$  in  $X$  such that  $f(x_n) = g(x_{n+1})$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) &= d(fx_n, fx_{n-1}) \leq kd(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) \\
 &\leq k[d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n-1}) - d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1})] \\
 &\leq k[d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n-1})] \\
 &\leq k[d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) + d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) - d(gx_n, gx_n)] \\
 &\leq k[2d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) + d(gx_n, gx_{n-1})] \\
 (1 - 2k)d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) &\leq kd(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) \\
 d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) &\leq \frac{k}{(1-2k)} d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}), \tag{3.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda = \frac{k}{(1-2k)} < 1$  with  $0 < k < 1/3$ . Hence we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \therefore d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) &\leq \lambda d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) \\
 &\leq \lambda^2 d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-2}) \\
 &\leq \lambda^3 d(gx_{n-2}, gx_{n-3}) \\
 &\vdots \\
 &\leq \lambda^n d(gx_1, gx_0) \tag{3.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

So for  $n > m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(gx_n, gx_m) &\leq d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1}, gx_m) - d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) \\
 &\leq d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1}, gx_m) \\
 &\vdots \\
 &\leq d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) + \dots + d(gx_{m-1}, gx_m) \\
 &\leq (\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1} + \dots + \lambda^{m-1}) d(gx_1, gx_0) \\
 d(gx_n, gx_m) &= \frac{\lambda^n}{(1-\lambda)} d(gx_0, gx_1) \tag{3.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

gives  $d(gx_n, gx_m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We get  $\{g(x_n)\}$  is Cauchy sequence in complete partial metric space  $g(X)$ , there exists a point  $y \in g(X)$  such that  $g(x_n) \rightarrow y$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_n, y) = d(y, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_n, gx_n) = 0$ .

Now

$$d(gy, y) \leq d(gy, gx_n) + d(gx_n, y) - d(gx_n, gx_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq d(gy, gx_n) + d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1}, y) - d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) - \\
d(gx_n, gx_n) \\
& \leq d(gy, gx_n) + \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} d(gx_0, gx_1) \\
& < kd(gy, y) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}$$

which is contradiction. Hence  $gy = y$ . Now

$$d(gx_n, fy) = d(fx_{n-1}, fy) \quad (3.5)$$

$$\leq kd(gx_{n-1}, gy) \quad (3.6)$$

Consequently we can write  $d(gx_n, fy) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Also  $d(gx_n, gy) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . gives  $fy = gy$ .

**Uniqueness:** Suppose there exist point  $x \in X$  with  $x \neq y$  such that  $fx = gx$ .

We get

$$d(gy, gx) = d(fx, fy) \leq kd(gx, gy) \quad (3.7)$$

We can write  $d(gx, gy) = 0$  i.e.  $gx = gy$

Hence  $f$  and  $g$  having unique common fixed point.

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $(X, d)$  be a partial metric space. Let  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$  are nonzero real numbers with  $a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 + a_4 < 1$  and Suppose the mappings  $f, g: X \rightarrow X$  satisfy

$$d(fx, fy) \leq a_1 d(gx, gy) + a_2 d(gx, fy) + a_3 d(gx, fx) + a_4 d(gy, fy) \quad (3.8)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  Suppose that the  $f(X) \subset g(X)$  and  $g(X)$  is a complete subspace of  $X$ . If  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy

$$\inf\{d(fx, y) + d(gx, y) + d(gx, fx) : x \in X\} > 0 \quad (3.9)$$

or all  $y \in X$  with  $y \neq fy$  or  $y \neq gy$ , then  $f$  and  $g$  have a common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x_0$  be any arbitrary point in  $X$ . Since  $f(X) \subset g(X)$ , there exists an  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $fx_0 = gx_1$ . Consider sequence  $x_n$  such that

$$f(x_n) = g(x_{n+1}), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.10)$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned}
& d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) \\
& \leq a_1 d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + a_2 d(gx_{n-1}, fx_n) + a_3 d(gx_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}) + a_4 d(gx_n, fx_n) \\
& \leq a_1 d(fx_{n-1}, gx_n) + a_2 d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n+1}) + a_3 d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + a_4 d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \\
& \leq (a_1 + a_3) d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + (a_2 + a_4) d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n+1})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq (a_1 + a_3)d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + (a_2 + a_4)[d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) - d(gx_n, gx_n)] \\
&\leq (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + (a_2 + a_4)[d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) - d(gx_n, gx_n)] \\
&\leq \frac{a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4}{1 - a_2 - a_4} d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) \\
&\leq hd(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \frac{a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4}{1 - a_2 - a_4} < 1 \quad (3.11)$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 < 1 - a_2 - a_4 \quad (3.12)$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 + 2a_4 < 1 \quad (3.13)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) &\leq hd(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) \\
&\leq h^2 d(gx_{n-2}, gx_{n-1}) \\
&\vdots \\
&\leq h^n d(gx_0, gx_1)
\end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Let  $m, n$  with  $m < n$ , We get

$$\begin{aligned}
d(gx_n, gx_m) &\leq d(gx, gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1}, fx_m) - d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) \\
&\leq d(gx, gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1}, fx_m) \\
&\vdots \\
&\leq d(gx, gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1}, fx_{n+2}) + \dots + d(gx_{m-1}, fx_{nm}) \\
&\leq h^n d(gx_0, gx_1) + h^{n+1} d(gx_0, gx_1) + \dots + h^{m-1} d(gx_0, gx_1) \\
&\leq (h^n + h^{n+1} + \dots + h^{m-1}) d(gx_0, gx_1) \\
&\leq \frac{h^n}{1-h} d(gx_0, gx_1)
\end{aligned}$$

We get sequence  $\{g(x_n)\}$  is Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Since  $g(X)$  is complete, there exists some point  $y \in g(x)$  such that  $gx_n \rightarrow y$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Hence,  $d(gx_n, y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  Suppose that  $y \neq gy$  or  $y \neq fy$ .

We get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \inf\{\|d(fx, y)\| + \|d(gx, y)\| + \|d(gx, fx)\| : x \in X\} \\
0 &\leq \inf\{\|d(fx_n, y)\| + \|d(gx_n, y)\| + \|d(gx_n, fx_m)\|\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$0 \leq \inf\{\|d(gx_{n+1}, y)\| + \|d(gx_n, y)\| + \|d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})\|\}$$

$$0 \leq \inf\{\|d(gx_{n+1}, y)\| + \|d(gx_n, y)\| + \|d(gx_n, y)\| + \|d(y, gx_{n+1})\| - \|d(y, y)\|\}$$

$$0 \leq -d(y, y)$$

This is contradiction. hence  $y = gy = fy$  this completes the proof.

**Example 3.3** A mapping  $f: X \rightarrow X$  defined by  $f(x) = \frac{x}{2}$  for  $x \neq 2$  and  $f(x) = 2$  for  $x = 2$  and the mapping  $g: X \rightarrow X$  by  $gx = x$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Since  $d(f(1), f(2)) = d(g(1), g(2))$ , there is no  $k \in [0, 1)$  such that  $d(fx, fy) \leq kd(fx, gy)$  for all  $x \in X$ , since  $d(f(1), f(2)) = d(1/2, 2) = \max\{1/2, 2\} = 2$ ,  $d(g(1), g(2)) = d(1, 2) = \max\{1, 2\} = 2$ .

#### 4. Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank RUSA for providing support under RUSA\_MRP\_Sanction letter No: 629\_2020-2021.

#### References

1. Matthews, S.G: Partial metric spaces. In: 8th British Colloquium for Theoretical Computer Science. Research Report 212, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Warwick (1992).
2. Matthews, S.G.: Partial metric topology. In Proceedings of the 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, vol. 778, pp. 183-197 (1994).
3. Onsod, W., Kumam, P., Cho, Y.J.: Fixed points of  $\alpha, \theta$  geraghty type and  $\theta$  geraghty graphic type contractions. Appl. Gen. Topol. 18(1), 153-171 (2017).
4. Gajanan Dhanorkar, Nilesh Nalawade, Shrikant Jakkewad and Dattatray Bhosale, Partial Metric Space with Modulation of Fixed Point Theorems in Generalized Contractions Mapping, Webology, Volume 19, No. 2, 2022.
5. G.A.Dhanorkar, J.N. Salunke, FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN GENERALIZED PARTIAL METRIC SPACES WITH  $\phi$  MAP, Bulletin of the Marathwada Mathematical Society Vol. 15, No. 2, December 2014, Pages 7-12.
6. Shukla, S., Altun, I., Sen, R.: Fixed point theorems and asymptotically regular mappings in partial metric spaces. Comput. Math. (2013).
7. Heckmann, R.: Approximation of metric spaces by partial metric spaces. Appl. Categ. Struct. 7(12), 71-83 (1999).
8. Oltra, S., Valero, O.: Banach's fixed point theorem for partial metric spaces. Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste XXXVI, 17-26 (2004).
9. Batsari, U.Y., Kumam, P: A globally stable fixed point in an ordered partial metric space. In: Anh, L., Dong, L., Kreinovich, V., Thach, N. (eds.) Econometrics for Financial Applications. ECONVN 2018. Studies in Computational Intelligence, vol. 760, pp. 360-368. Springer International Publishing AG, Cham (2018).
10. Suzhen Hana, Jianfeng, WubDong Zhanga, Properties and principles on partial metric spaces, Topology and its Applications Vol.230(1), October 2017, Pages 77-98.